IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.2186 OF 2005

Amir Ali Mohammed Hussain Shaikh ...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra ...Respondent

.....

Mr.A.M.Saraogi for Applicant.

Mr.A.S.Gadkari, A.P.P. for Respondent.

.....

**CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.**

**APRIL 8, 2005.**

**P.C.**

1. Learned A.P.P. states that the intimation regarding first date of hearing was not sent by the Office of the Public Prosecutor. Insofar as the second date of hearing, it is stated that the Investigating Officer reached Court after the matter was called out. In view of the explanation offered, no further orders are necessary.

2. Heard Counsel for the parties. Perused

the record.

3. As I indicated my mind during course of arguments, Mr.Saraogi for Applicant fairly stated that the Court may not record any reason for rejection of the present Application, so that, after charge-sheet is filed, the Applicant can move the appropriate Court for regular bail, if so advised, which Application can be considered on its own merits, in accordance with law.

4. This order may not be construed as expression of opinion on merits on any of the issues that would be relevant for considering the bail application to be filed by the Applicant.

5. Application disposed of on the above terms.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.